IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA

CERRON THOMAS HOOKS,)	
Petitioner,)	
v.)	1:05CV1127
GERALD J. BRANKER,¹)	
Warden, Central Prison,)	
Raleigh, North Carolina,)	
)	
Respondent.)	

RECOMMENDATION OF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

This matter comes before the Court on the "Application for Certificate of Appealability" filed by Petitioner Cerron Thomas Hooks on September 23, 2008. (Docket No. 28.) Respondent Gerald Branker has responded in opposition. The application is ready for a ruling.

On August 25, 2008, Chief Judge James A. Beaty, Jr. entered Judgment in this matter, denying Petitioner's petition for a writ of habeas corpus. Petitioner has now applied for a certificate of appealability as to a number of claims raised in the petition. Specifically, Petitioner seeks a certificate as to all eleven claims of the petition.

¹ Gerald J. Branker succeeded Mr. Marvin Polk as Warden at Central Prison. The case caption is hereby amended to accurately reflect Mr. Branker as the Respondent.

A district court may issue a certificate to appealability from a habeas corpus

adjudication against the petitioner only if the petitioner can show that "the applicant has

made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c). In

Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473 (2000), the Supreme Court determined that, in order to

obtain a certificate of appealability, a petitioner must show that "reasonable jurists could

debate whether . . . the petition should have been resolved in a different manner or that the

issues presented were 'adequate to deserve encouragement to proceed further." Id. at 483-84

(citation omitted.)

Applying this standard to the case at bar, the Court finds that a certificate of

appealability should be denied as to all of the claims presented. The Court hereby

incorporates the analyses set out in detail as to the claims in question in the Recommendation

filed September 11, 2007. The Court does not believe that reasonable jurists could debate

the proper resolution of the procedural or substantive issues determined by the Court, or that

Petitioner's claims are adequate to deserve encouragement to proceed further.

Accordingly, **IT IS RECOMMENDED** that Petitioner's application for a certificate

of appealability be denied. (Docket No. 28.)

/s/ P. Trevor Sharp

United States Magistrate Judge

Date: December 9, 2008

-2-